

ENERGY COMPLIANCE, INC. | *Field Reference for NERC Compliance Foundations*



FOUNDATIONS SERIES · EC-WP-100

NERC 101

A Practical Introduction to NERC Reliability

What NERC actually is, what it isn't, and why it operates the way it does. The foundational reference for new compliance hires, attorneys onboarding, and anyone new to the framework.

energycomplianceinc.com

OCTOBER 2026

Disclaimer & Legal Notice

This publication is the work product of Energy Compliance, Inc. and is provided for general information and educational purposes only. It does not constitute legal advice, regulatory guidance, or formal interpretation of any NERC Reliability Standard, FERC order, or Regional Entity directive.

This publication may not be used or cited as authority in any audit, enforcement, regulatory, or legal proceeding. Compliance with NERC Reliability Standards depends on facts and circumstances unique to each registered entity, and the reader should consult qualified counsel and subject matter experts when making compliance decisions.

NERC, FERC, and the names of Regional Entities are referenced here for descriptive purposes only. Energy Compliance, Inc. is not affiliated with, sponsored by, or endorsed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or any NERC Regional Entity.

Statutes, orders, Rules of Procedure appendices, standard versions, and statistics cited in this reference were checked against the primary sources listed in Sources and Authorities as of October 2026. The framework changes. Confirm the version in force on the date that matters to you.

Energy Compliance, Inc. assumes no responsibility or liability for errors, omissions, or outcomes resulting from the use of this publication.

Foreword

This professional reference is one of a series Energy Compliance, Inc. publishes for registered entities and the people who run their compliance programs.

I have spent more than thirty years on every side of the bulk electric system. I have operated control centers as a Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, and Power System Operator. I have audited grid facilities and signed off on findings as a senior compliance auditor. I have worked enforcement matters from inside the regulator's process. For the last several years I have advised registered entities directly through the firm I founded.

The entities that do reliability well share a habit. They take the standards seriously without confusing them with reliability itself. They know a NERC Reliability Standard is a floor, not a ceiling. They know compliance is something an auditor evaluates, while reliability is something a system either delivers or does not. So they prepare for audits by building programs that survive real questions, not binders that look thick.

That is the perspective these references try to share. Each one takes a single topic, whether a standard family, an operational function, a regulatory framework, or an emerging industry challenge, and walks through how it actually works.

They are written for the compliance manager who wants to understand the system and not just memorize requirements. For the legal counsel who has to brief a board honestly. For the senior operator who has been told that compliance and reliability are

the same thing and suspects they are not. And for the new compliance hire who was handed a binder and told good luck.

These references are not marketing material dressed up as content. They come from three decades of doing this work and watching it succeed and fail. I wrote them in the same voice I use in a control room or in front of a Regional Entity audit team: direct, grounded in evidence, and honest about what the standards do and do not require.

Energy Compliance exists because much of the consulting offered to registered entities today is structured for billable hours rather than for outcomes. Every engagement is overseen by a senior practitioner. We do not bring five people to a meeting that needs one. We automate the work that should be automated and apply senior judgment to the work that requires it. If that is what you want in a compliance partner, the back of this reference has our contact information.

If not, the reference still belongs to you. Take what is useful and apply it well. And remember the only test that ultimately matters: when the system needs to perform, does it?

Rob Smith

Founder and Principal, Energy Compliance, Inc.

Executive Summary

NERC is not a regulator in the usual sense. Congress did not create a federal agency to write grid reliability rules. It told FERC to certify a single, independent Electric Reliability Organization to develop and enforce them, subject to FERC's approval and oversight, and the industry that must follow those standards votes on them before they are filed. That arrangement sits under every meaningful compliance conversation a registered entity will ever have. Misunderstand it and you misread half of what NERC does.

This reference is the starting point for the Foundations series. It explains why NERC exists, where its authority comes from, how the Regional Entities fit, how a Reliability Standard is built and to whom it applies, and how compliance is monitored, enforced, and resolved. It is an orientation, not an implementation guide.

- Reliability is not a policy preference. It is the line between a working grid and a cascading event.
- Mandatory standards came only after voluntary coordination failed in 2003. NERC itself supported the move to a mandatory system backstopped by FERC.
- The 1965 Northeast Blackout proved coordination was not optional. The August 2003 blackout proved voluntary coordination was not enough, and the investigators said so in their first recommendation.
- Congress wrote the law. FERC approves the standards and oversees the ERO. NERC develops and enforces the standards. The Regional Entities run most of the monitoring. Confuse the four and you will talk to the wrong party at the wrong time.
- NERC organizes reliability around what entities do, not what they own. That functional model is where most registration mistakes live.
- Most noncompliance is found by the entities themselves and resolved without a penalty. In 2025, about 85% was self-identified, and about 97% was resolved as Compliance Exceptions or Find, Fix, Track and Report.
- Compliance is what gets audited. Reliability is what the system actually does. Programs that confuse the two get caught by both.

1 Why NERC Exists and the Reliability Problem It Solves

This chapter explains why the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) exists and the reliability problem it was created to solve. It lays the historical, technical, and institutional groundwork for the statutory and organizational chapters that follow.

1.1 An Interconnected Machine

The North American grid is one of the largest machines ever built. Generators, transmission lines, transformers, substations, protection systems, and control centers spread across most of the continent are tied together electrically. NERC divides that machine into four major Interconnections: the Eastern, Western, ERCOT, and Quebec Interconnections. Within each one, every synchronous generator turns in step with every other.

That synchronism is the whole point and the whole problem. An Interconnection, in NERC's own definition, is an area where the operation of system components is synchronized such that the failure of one or more of them may adversely affect the ability of other operators to maintain reliable operation of their own facilities. Interconnection lets utilities share reserves and move power across long distances. It also means a problem in one place does not stay there.

Supply and demand have to balance continuously. Frequency has to stay inside tight limits, and voltage inside prescribed limits. Most of the system cannot store energy at the scale of the load it serves, so a disturbance that nobody predicts or controls can grow quickly. NERC's Glossary has a word for the worst version of that growth: Cascading, the uncontrolled successive loss of system Elements triggered by an incident at any location.

1.2 From Isolated Utilities to Interdependent Systems

In the early years of the industry, most utilities planned and operated their own systems. Reliability was local because the systems were. As ties between neighboring systems multiplied, each utility's reliability came to depend on decisions made outside its own footprint.

Protection settings, operating practices, and planning criteria that worked well on an isolated system did not always work on the combined network. Utilities built on different assumptions. Operators had limited visibility beyond their own boundaries, and the tools for sharing information in real time were primitive by today's standards. The industry was learning how large interconnected systems behave during disturbances, and some of the lessons came the hard way.

1.3 November 9, 1965

The Northeast Blackout of November 9, 1965 was the first of those hard lessons on a regional scale. According to the U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force's summary of prior major outages, a backup protective relay opened one of five 230-kV lines carrying power north from a generating plant in Ontario toward Toronto. Flows shifted instantly onto the remaining four lines, and they tripped out in succession within 2.5 seconds. The disturbance spread across the interconnected system.

The event cost more than 20,000 MW of load and affected about 30 million people. Virtually all of New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island lost power, along with small parts of northern Pennsylvania and northeastern New Jersey and substantial areas of Ontario. Some outages lasted up to 13 hours.

The broader lesson was institutional as much as technical. The utilities involved were connected electrically but not coordinated well enough to manage the system they had built together. The same Task Force summary records the outcome: the blackout led to the formation of the North American Electric Reliability Council in 1968.

1.4 The Voluntary Council, 1968 to 2006

For almost four decades NERC operated on agreement, not law. The Task Force described it plainly in 2004: since its inception, NERC had operated as a voluntary organization, relying on reciprocity, peer pressure, and the mutual self-interest of all those involved to ensure compliance with reliability requirements. Its members were ten regional reliability councils, and it set standards for the reliable operation and planning of the bulk electric system and monitored and assessed compliance with them.

The voluntary model did real work. It built shared operating policies, planning criteria, and a common technical vocabulary. What it could not do was compel an entity that chose not to follow the rules, or penalize one that failed to.

1.5 August 14, 2003

That gap became impossible to ignore on August 14, 2003. Large portions of the Midwest and Northeast United States and Ontario lost power in an outage that affected an area with an estimated 50 million people and 61,800 MW of load, in Ohio, Michigan, Pennsylvania, New York, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey, and Ontario.

The joint U.S.-Canada Task Force's final report, published in April 2004, put institutional reform first. Its first recommendation was to make reliability standards mandatory and enforceable, with penalties for noncompliance. It called on Congress to enact reliability legislation requiring that standards be developed by an independent electric reliability organization with fair stakeholder representation and balanced decision-making. Chapter 2 covers what Congress did with that recommendation.

1.6 Reliability Is a Shared Responsibility

No single entity controls the interconnected system. Generator Owners and Operators, Transmission Owners and Operators, Balancing Authorities, planners, and Reliability

Coordinators all affect reliability through their own decisions. Planning determines the margins operators have to work with. Operating practice determines how close to those margins the system runs. Protection systems determine how disturbances are cleared. Communication between entities determines whether anyone sees trouble coming.

That is the design problem NERC exists to solve. You cannot replace the local actors who own and run the equipment. You can set a common baseline that every one of them must meet, so that each entity's choices stay compatible with everyone else's reliability.

1.7 Oversight Is Not Operation

NERC does not operate the grid, dispatch generation, or issue real-time instructions. Those duties belong to registered entities. NERC's Glossary defines the Reliability Coordinator as the entity at the highest level of authority responsible for the Reliable Operation of the Bulk Electric System, with the Wide Area view and the authority to prevent or mitigate emergency operating situations. The Balancing Authority maintains Demand and resource balance within its area and supports Interconnection frequency in real time. The Transmission Operator is responsible for the reliability of its local transmission system and operates or directs the operation of transmission Facilities.

NERC's job is to define the reliability requirements those entities must meet and to verify, through monitoring and enforcement, that they meet them. Keeping that line clear avoids a common confusion between compliance oversight and operating authority.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

For executives and boards, the lesson of 1965 and 2003 is that reliability is a governance matter, not just an engineering one. Where to invest, how many people to staff, how much operating authority to delegate, and how much risk to accept can all reach beyond the organization's own boundaries.

For engineers and operators, the lesson is that no system is an island. A setting, a procedure, or a staffing decision that looks local can matter to a neighbor several systems away.

Chapter Summary

NERC exists because the North American grid is interconnected. The 1965 blackout produced a voluntary reliability council; the 2003 blackout showed that voluntary coordination was not enough and led investigators to call for mandatory, enforceable standards. NERC now provides a common set of reliability requirements for planning and operating the interconnected system.

From the Field

Read the Task Force's 2003 report once, start to finish. Its first recommendation is the reason this reference exists.

2 The Federal Power Act and the Foundation of Mandatory Reliability

Mandatory reliability in the United States rests on one statute: the Federal Power Act, and the Section 215 that Congress added in 2005. Knowing it is how you understand the authority and the limits of NERC, and why Reliability Standards are legal requirements rather than industry guidelines.

2.1 The Federal Power Act Before 2005

The Federal Power Act dates to 1920. Part II, added in 1935, gave federal regulators authority over the transmission of electric energy in interstate commerce and the sale of electric energy at wholesale in interstate commerce. It left other sales, and facilities used in local distribution, to the states. That split between federal wholesale and transmission jurisdiction and state retail and distribution jurisdiction still shapes the reliability framework today.

For most of its history the Act was about economic regulation: rates, terms, and conditions for wholesale sales and transmission service. Reliability was recognized as important, but it was handled by the utilities and their regional councils through voluntary means, not through federal law.

2.2 Reliability Before Section 215

Before Section 215, reliability criteria, operating policies, and planning guidelines came from NERC and the regional councils, and adherence depended on the voluntary model described in Chapter 1. No federal statute required utilities to follow those guidelines, and failing to follow them carried no legal penalty.

The 2003 blackout made the cost of that arrangement visible. The Task Force report noted that the voluntary system of compliance with reliability standards was generally recognized as not adequate to current needs. Wide-area failures had national consequences, while the tools to prevent them rested on agreement.

2.3 The Energy Policy Act of 2005

Congress closed the gap in the Energy Policy Act of 2005, signed August 8, 2005. Title XII, Section 1211 of that Act added Section 215 to the Federal Power Act, codified at 16 U.S.C. § 824o. Section 215 directed FERC to issue a final rule implementing it within 180 days.

FERC met that deadline with Order No. 672, issued February 3, 2006. Order No. 672 amended FERC's regulations to implement Section 215 and set out the process for certifying a single independent Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) to oversee the reliability of the United States portion of the interconnected North American Bulk-Power System.

2.4 What Section 215 Does

Section 215 is short, and it repays a careful reading. Its core moves are these:

- **It defines the terms.** The bulk-power system is the facilities and control systems necessary for operating an interconnected transmission network, plus the electric energy from generation needed to maintain transmission system reliability. It does not include facilities used in local distribution. A reliability standard is a requirement, approved by FERC, to provide for reliable operation of the bulk-power system, including cybersecurity protection.
- **It makes compliance mandatory.** All users, owners, and operators of the bulk-power system shall comply with reliability standards that take effect under the section.
- **It creates the ERO.** FERC may certify one ERO that can develop and enforce standards providing an adequate level of reliability, and that has rules assuring independence, equitable funding, fair enforcement procedures, and due process, openness, and balance in standards development.
- **It sets the approval path.** The ERO files each proposed standard with FERC. FERC may approve it if it is just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest, or remand it.
- **It provides for enforcement.** The ERO may impose penalties for violations after notice and an opportunity for a hearing, subject to FERC review, and may delegate enforcement authority to regional entities.
- **It requires assessments.** The ERO must conduct periodic assessments of the reliability and adequacy of the bulk-power system in North America.

2.5 FERC's Role Under Section 215

FERC does not write the standards. It certifies the ERO, approves or remands the standards the ERO files, and oversees enforcement. When it reviews a proposed standard, the statute tells FERC to give due weight to the technical expertise of the ERO, though not with respect to a standard's effect on competition.

FERC also holds powers of its own. Under Section 215(d)(5) it can order the ERO to submit a new or modified standard that addresses a specific matter. Under Section 215(e)(3) it can, on its own motion or on complaint, order compliance with a standard and impose a penalty directly. Under Section 215(e)(5) it can act against the ERO or a regional entity to ensure compliance with a standard or a FERC order. And under Section 215(f), changes to the ERO's own rules take effect only on FERC approval.

The result is a supervisory model. Industry expertise drafts the rules, and an independent federal regulator decides whether they hold up.

2.6 Jurisdictional Boundaries

Section 215 does not federalize the electric industry. Its limits are written into the statute:

- The ERO has authority to develop and enforce reliability standards for only the bulk-power system, which excludes facilities used in local distribution.
- Neither the ERO nor FERC may order the construction of additional generation or transmission capacity, or set and enforce standards for the adequacy or safety of electric

facilities or services. The definition of a reliability standard itself excludes any requirement to enlarge facilities or build new capacity.

- States keep their authority to act to ensure the safety, adequacy, and reliability of electric service within the state, as long as the action is not inconsistent with a reliability standard. New York may set rules for greater reliability within the state if they do not reduce reliability outside it.
- Section 215 does not apply to Alaska or Hawaii.

Operational responsibility did not move. The same entities still run the system. What changed is that the rules they run it under became enforceable federal law.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

After Section 215, meeting the reliability floor stopped being a board's discretionary choice. It is a federal obligation, enforced with penalties. Decisions about staffing, maintenance budgets, delegation of authority, and acceptance of risk now carry regulatory consequences as well as operational ones.

For engineers, the change is that technical practices which used to be good habits are now, where a standard requires them, documented obligations that an auditor can test.

Chapter Summary

The Federal Power Act has governed federal electricity regulation since 1920, but it was an economic statute until 2005. Section 215, added by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, made reliability standards mandatory for all users, owners, and operators of the bulk-power system, created the ERO, and gave FERC approval and oversight authority, while preserving state authority over distribution and retail service. Chapter 3 explains how NERC came to hold the ERO role.

From the Field

Mandatory reliability did not replace voluntary cooperation. It backed it up with consequences.

Section 215 is what turned reliability from a best practice into a federal obligation. Read it once. It explains more about NERC's authority than the standards themselves do.

Before Section 215, you could choose to follow NERC standards. After it, the choice looked the same. The difference was what happened if you did not.

3 NERC as the Electric Reliability Organization

The ERO is a role, and NERC holds it. What follows covers what the role is, how NERC came to hold it, how NERC performs it, and how it differs from the work of a government regulator, a system operator, or a market administrator.

3.1 What an ERO Is

Section 215 defines the ERO as the organization certified by FERC whose purpose is to establish and enforce reliability standards for the bulk-power system, subject to FERC review. Congress could have handed the job to a federal agency. It chose a different design: a single industry-informed body with the technical depth to write the rules, held accountable by a federal regulator that approves them.

The ERO is not part of the government. The same section of the Energy Policy Act that created Section 215 provides that the ERO, and any regional entity delegated enforcement authority, are not departments, agencies, or instrumentalities of the United States Government. NERC describes itself as a not-for-profit international regulatory authority.

3.2 Certification

On July 20, 2006, FERC certified NERC as the ERO for the continental United States under Section 215(c). The certification order is reported at 116 FERC ¶ 61,062. FERC found that NERC satisfied the criteria to be the ERO responsible for developing and enforcing mandatory Reliability Standards for the United States. The certified entity was the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, no longer the voluntary Council of 1968.

Certification is not permanent and unexamined. FERC's regulations require the ERO to file an assessment of its performance three years after initial certification and every five years after that. Each assessment must explain how NERC continues to meet the certification criteria and evaluate the effectiveness of each Regional Entity. FERC reviews it and can direct improvements, as it did in its November 2014 order on NERC's second assessment.

3.3 From Certification to Mandatory Standards

Certification created the ERO. Order No. 693, issued March 16, 2007, gave it enforceable standards. In that order FERC approved 83 of the 107 Reliability Standards NERC had proposed, along with six of eight regional differences and the NERC Glossary of Terms. It directed NERC to develop significant improvements to 56 of the 83 approved standards and left 24 pending for more information. The rule took effect June 4, 2007.

Order No. 693 also added a part to FERC's regulations stating that it applies to all users, owners, and operators of the Bulk-Power System within the United States, other than Alaska and Hawaii, and requiring each Reliability Standard to identify the subset of users, owners, and operators to which it applies. That requirement is the root of the applicability sections discussed in Chapters 5 and 6.

3.4 How NERC Is Governed and Funded

NERC is governed by a 12-member Board of Trustees: 11 independent trustees and NERC's president and chief executive officer as the management trustee. Membership in NERC is voluntary and open to anyone with an interest in the reliability of the North American bulk power system. Members elect the Member Representatives Committee, drawn from 12 membership sectors, which elects the independent trustees and advises the Board.

NERC's annual business plan and budget is subject to FERC approval. In the United States, NERC is funded primarily through assessments to load-serving entities, allocated on a net-energy-for-load basis. NERC's authority also extends beyond the United States. It holds memoranda of understanding with eight Canadian provinces and the Canada Energy Regulator, and the ERO Enterprise describes its work as serving nearly 400 million people.

3.5 Standards Development

NERC develops Reliability Standards through the process in its Standard Processes Manual, Appendix 3A to the Rules of Procedure. The process is open to the industry by design. The Registered Ballot Body comprises all entities or individuals who qualify for one of the industry Segments approved by the Board and register with NERC. Each standards action forms its own ballot pool from interested members of that body.

A ballot passes only with a quorum, at least 75% of the ballot pool responding, and a two-thirds majority of the weighted Segment votes cast. Each Segment carries equal weight, so no single sector of the industry can carry a standard on its own. Once a standard passes and the Board of Trustees adopts it, NERC files it with FERC. It becomes mandatory in the United States only when FERC approves it.

NERC does not impose standards unilaterally. The industry drafts them, votes on them, and lives with them.

3.6 Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement

NERC's Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (CMEP) is set out in Appendix 4C to the Rules of Procedure. The Rules use the term Compliance Enforcement Authority for NERC or a Regional Entity in its role of monitoring and enforcing compliance. The Regional Entities do most of the hands-on monitoring and auditing; NERC oversees the program and works to keep it consistent from region to region. Penalties the ERO imposes go to FERC in a Notice of Penalty and are subject to FERC review. Chapters 8 and 9 cover the mechanics.

3.7 Reliability Assessments and Event Analysis

Section 215(g) requires the ERO to conduct periodic assessments of the reliability and adequacy of the bulk-power system. NERC meets that duty with seasonal and long-term

assessments. The 2025 Long-Term Reliability Assessment, published in January 2026, is the current long-term example. Assessments inform the industry and regulators. They do not, by themselves, require anyone to build anything; Section 215 withholds that power.

NERC also analyzes system disturbances and events, tracks trends to find root causes, and issues lessons learned, guidelines, and recommendations. It certifies system operators as having the knowledge and skills to perform reliability responsibilities, and it maintains situational awareness of conditions that may threaten the bulk power system. Much of NERC's reliability value comes from this learning work, not from enforcement.

3.8 What NERC Does Not Do

NERC does not administer markets or regulate rates; those remain with FERC under the economic provisions of the Federal Power Act, and with the states. Its standards cannot require new generation or transmission, and they reach only the bulk-power system.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

For executives, the ERO model means that the rules your organization lives under were written by your industry, approved by a federal regulator, and enforced by a non-governmental body with federal backing. Your organization can participate in writing them through the ballot body. Many choose not to and then live with what others wrote.

For engineers and operators, NERC standards set a common foundation of reliability expectations across very different systems, and leave room for different technical solutions above that foundation.

Chapter Summary

NERC is the ERO for the United States, certified by FERC on July 20, 2006 under Section 215. It develops Reliability Standards through an open, weighted industry ballot, enforces them through a CMEP carried out largely by the Regional Entities, assesses reliability, and analyzes events. FERC approves the standards, reviews penalties, approves the budget, and evaluates NERC's performance every five years. Chapter 4 turns to the Regional Entities.

From the Field

NERC is not a federal agency. It is the entity Congress set up, and FERC certified, to do the technical work a federal agency is not built to do itself.

The ERO model exists because Congress decided industry expertise should write the rules. FERC's job is to make sure the rules hold up.

If NERC stops doing its job well, the model fails. That is why FERC approves its budget every year and reviews its performance every five years, instead of assuming it.

4 NERC Regions and Regional Entities

Most registered entities deal with a Regional Entity far more than with NERC itself. This chapter covers why reliability oversight is implemented regionally, what the Regional Entities are authorized to do, and how they relate to NERC, FERC, registered entities, and the states.

4.1 Why Regional Implementation

The bulk power system spans very different geography, resource mixes, weather, and transmission configurations. Continent-wide standards set common expectations, but an auditor who knows a region's systems, history, and operating practices is better placed to evaluate how an entity meets them.

Regional implementation is a practical compromise. Reliability risks are often system-wide in their consequences but local in how they show up. Regional Entities bring local knowledge to a uniform set of requirements.

4.2 From Regional Councils to Regional Entities

The regional structure predates mandatory standards. Under the voluntary model, NERC's members were the regional reliability councils, groupings of utilities whose systems were tied together and who coordinated planning and operations.

Section 215 kept the regional idea and gave it legal force. In April 2007, under Section 215(e)(4) and FERC's regulations, NERC entered into a separate delegation agreement with each of eight Regional Entities, delegating to them certain of its authority. The number has since consolidated. Today the ERO Enterprise consists of NERC and six Regional Entities.

4.3 The Six Regional Entities

Regional Entity	Full name
MRO	Midwest Reliability Organization
NPCC	Northeast Power Coordinating Council
RF	ReliabilityFirst
SERC	SERC Reliability Corporation
Texas RE	Texas Reliability Entity
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council

Regional boundaries do not line up perfectly with corporate ones. NERC notes that some load-serving entities participate in one Region while their associated Transmission Owners and Operators participate in another.

4.4 Delegation Agreements and Authority

Section 215(e)(4) allows the ERO to delegate authority to a regional entity for proposing reliability standards and enforcing them if the regional entity has an independent, balanced stakeholder, or combination board, otherwise meets the ERO certification criteria, and the agreement promotes effective and efficient administration of reliability. FERC may modify a delegation.

The delegation agreements are approved by FERC. According to NERC, they address, among other things, developing regional Reliability Standards; monitoring and enforcing compliance with continent-wide and regional standards; registering owners, operators, and users of the BES and certifying Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Transmission Operators; assessing reliability and analyzing performance; training and education; event analysis and reliability improvement; and situation awareness and infrastructure security.

Note what that list includes. Regional Entities can propose regional standards. The Rules of Procedure define a Regional Reliability Standard as one applicable only within a particular Regional Entity or group of Regional Entities, which may augment, add detail to, or implement a continent-wide standard or cover matters it does not address. A regional standard still has to go through NERC and be approved by FERC before it is enforceable. Where the proposal comes from a regional entity organized on an Interconnection-wide basis, Section 215 directs NERC to presume it is just and reasonable, rebuttably.

4.5 Consistency and Oversight

In my view, delegation creates a consistency problem. Regional variation in how standards are interpreted and enforced creates unequal exposure for entities that operate in more than one footprint, and it weakens the credibility of the system as a whole. NERC's oversight of the Regional Entities exists in part to manage that. NERC must also evaluate each Regional Entity's effectiveness in its five-year performance assessment to FERC, and each Regional Entity's budget is reviewed and approved by NERC and FERC.

4.6 Working With Your Regional Entity

For most registered entities, the Regional Entity is the face of the ERO. It is the Compliance Enforcement Authority that runs your audits, spot checks, and self-certifications, receives your Self-Reports, processes your registration changes, and proposes dispositions for noncompliance. It also runs outreach, training, and workshops.

Regional Entities have no operating authority. They do not dispatch, direct switching, or operate the grid. Their role is oversight.

4.7 Regional Entities and the States

Regional Entities operate within the jurisdictional limits of Section 215. Their reliability authority covers the bulk-power system, and it does not extend to local distribution or retail service, which

remain with state and provincial authorities. State commissions keep their authority over the safety, adequacy, and reliability of electric service within their states, as long as state action is not inconsistent with a reliability standard. Keeping those boundaries clear prevents a lot of confusion about who to call about what.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

Executives should know which Regional Entity, or entities, oversee their registrations, and should expect the relationship to be continuous rather than an audit every few years. Engineers and operators will deal with the Regional Entity as the local interface for monitoring. Regional knowledge helps the conversation, but it does not change the technical requirements.

Chapter Summary

Regional Entities exercise authority delegated by NERC under FERC-approved agreements to monitor and enforce compliance, register entities, propose regional standards, and support reliability within their footprints. There are six today. NERC oversees them for consistency, and FERC oversees both. Chapter 5 turns to the standards themselves.

From the Field

Regional Entities are not NERC. They are NERC's instrument for getting close enough to entities to enforce the standards.

Two entities can run nearly identical compliance programs and get different audit outcomes, and the difference can trace to which Regional Entity is in the room.

When you cross Regional Entity boundaries, you cross interpretation boundaries. Plan for it.

5 Reliability Standards: Purpose, Structure, and Scope

This chapter explains what a Reliability Standard is for, how one is built, and what it does and does not cover. It is about the anatomy of a standard, not about any particular one.

5.1 What a Reliability Standard Is

NERC's Glossary and the Standard Processes Manual adapt the statutory definition: a requirement, approved by FERC under Section 215, or approved or recognized by an applicable governmental authority in other jurisdictions, to provide for Reliable Operation of the Bulk-Power System. Reliable Operation, in turn, means operating the elements of the Bulk-Power System within equipment and electric system thermal, voltage, and stability limits so that instability, uncontrolled separation, or cascading failures will not occur as a result of a sudden disturbance, including a cybersecurity incident, or the unanticipated failure of system elements.

That definition tells you what the standards are aimed at. They are not designed to optimize economics or maximize performance. They exist to keep the system out of instability, uncontrolled separation, and Cascading.

5.2 Risk as the Underlying Driver

Each standard addresses a category of reliability risk: planning errors, operating mistakes, poor coordination between entities, protection system misoperations, communication failures, and more. The Standard Processes Manual requires every standard to support one or more of NERC's reliability principles and to be consistent with all of them, so that no standard undermines reliability through an unintended consequence. It also requires standards to be consistent with NERC's market interface principles, so they achieve their reliability objective without undue restrictions on competitive markets.

No standard eliminates risk. The intent, in the Manual's words, is for the set of standards to deliver an adequate level of reliability.

5.3 Anatomy of a Standard

The Standard Processes Manual lists the components a Reliability Standard may include:

Component	What it does
Title	A brief, descriptive phrase identifying the topic
Number	A unique identifier under NERC's numbering convention
Purpose	The reliability outcome achieved through compliance with the Requirements

Component	What it does
Applicability	The specific Functional Entities and Facilities to which the standard applies
Effective Dates	The date or pre-conditions that determine when each Requirement becomes effective in each jurisdiction
Requirement	An explicit, mandatory statement of who must do what, under what conditions, for what reliability benefit
Measure	The evidence or types of evidence that may demonstrate compliance
VRFs and VSLs	Violation Risk Factors and Violation Severity Levels, used in sizing a penalty
Variance	A requirement applied in place of the continent-wide one for a specific area or set of entities
Version History	Informational record of prior versions

One sentence in the Manual matters more than any other for a new compliance hire: the only mandatory and enforceable components of a Reliability Standard are the applicability, the Requirements, and the effective dates. Everything else is there to inform and to show how compliance will be assessed.

5.4 Requirements Versus Measures

A Requirement states the obligation. A Measure identifies the evidence that may demonstrate the obligation was met. They are related, but they are not the same thing. An entity is judged against the Requirement. The Measure is a guide to the evidence an auditor will expect to see.

The Manual describes three types of Requirements. Performance-based requirements define a reliability outcome with a direct, observable effect that can be measured using power system data. Risk-based requirements define actions that reduce a stated risk, measured by evaluating a product or outcome of those actions. Capability-based requirements define capabilities an entity must have, measured by demonstrating that the capability exists. Together, in NERC's words, they provide a defense-in-depth strategy.

VRFs identify the potential reliability significance of noncompliance with each Requirement. VSLs define the degree to which compliance was not achieved, ideally in four levels. They are developed with the standard, approved by the Board, and are not part of the standard itself. They come back into play in Chapter 9, when a penalty is being sized.

5.5 Applicability and Functional Scope

Standards apply by function, not by ownership or organization size. The applicability section of each standard names the registered functions it covers, such as Transmission Operator, Balancing Authority, or Generator Owner, and often the specific Facilities within those functions. Chapter 6 explains the functions.

Read the applicability section first. It is the most common place for a compliance program to go wrong, because it decides who must do what before any Requirement is read.

5.6 Why Standards Are Written at a High Level

The grid includes an enormous range of equipment, designs, and operating conditions. A prescriptive standard written for one configuration would be wrong for others and could introduce new risks. So most Requirements say what must be achieved and leave the entity to decide how. The Manual requires every Requirement to be material to reliability and measurable, but it does not require a single technical solution.

In my view, that flexibility is the most misunderstood feature of the standards. It does not mean anything goes. It means the entity owns the engineering judgment and has to be able to show its work.

5.7 What Reliability Standards Do Not Do

Reliability Standards are not operating procedures manuals or engineering design guides. They do not cover every risk, and they do not replace good engineering practice, sound operating procedures, or a reliability culture. By statute, they also cannot require anyone to enlarge facilities or build new transmission or generation capacity.

That matters because an organization can hide behind the standards. If every reliability decision is reduced to whether a Requirement demands it, the organization has quietly handed its reliability judgment to a document written as a floor.

5.8 Standards Change

The standards are not static. New risks, events, FERC directives, and experience drive new versions, and each version carries its own effective date. NERC's standards pages list each version as subject to enforcement, subject to future enforcement, or inactive. A recent example: PRC-029-2, the ride-through standard for inverter-based resources, took effect October 1, 2026, following FERC approval, replacing PRC-029-1. EOP-004-5, which revises event reporting, is subject to future enforcement on October 1, 2027.

A program built to the version in force three years ago is not a compliant program today. Version tracking is a core compliance function, not an administrative afterthought.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

For executives, Reliability Standards define the organization's minimum obligations within the reliability framework, and they affect governance, risk management, and capital decisions. For engineers and operators, the standards define a reliability level without dictating the design. Expert judgment is still required to apply them to any particular system.

Chapter Summary

Reliability Standards address system-level reliability risk through enforceable requirements, but only the applicability, requirements, and effective dates are mandatory.

From the Field

A NERC Reliability Standard is a floor, not a ceiling. Treat it as a target and you have misread it.

6 Registered Entity Functions and Applicability

NERC assigns reliability responsibility to functions, not to owners. This chapter covers that model, how entities are registered for those functions, and how registration drives applicability.

6.1 The Function-Based Model

NERC assigns responsibility according to what an entity does on the system, not who it is or how large it is. Reliability risk follows the function being performed: balancing, operating transmission, operating a generator, planning, coordinating. If you perform the function, the obligations that go with it are yours.

The function-based model closes gaps that an ownership model would leave open. Ownership and operation are often split. A project company owns a plant, a contractor operates it, and a utility owns the interconnecting substation. Registering by function puts each obligation where the work actually happens.

6.2 The NERC Compliance Registry

Functional registration was how the framework answered a basic question when standards became mandatory: exactly who is bound by them? NERC Rules of Procedure Section 501 requires NERC to establish and maintain the NERC Compliance Registry of the bulk power system owners, operators, and users subject to approved Reliability Standards. The Rules define a Registered Entity as an owner, operator, or user of the Bulk Power System, or the entity registered as its designee for compliance, that is included in the Registry.

Appendix 5B, the Statement of Compliance Registry Criteria, sets out who should be registered. NERC states two guiding principles there: registration must be consistent between Regions and across the continent, and any entity reasonably deemed material to the reliability of the bulk power system will be registered, irrespective of other considerations. The Regional Entities, working with NERC, identify and register entities in their areas.

6.3 The Registered Functions

Appendix 5B defines the function types an entity can be registered for:

Function	Acronym	Summary of the Appendix 5B definition
Balancing Authority	BA	Integrates resource plans ahead of time, maintains load-interchange-generation balance within its area, and supports Interconnection frequency in real time

Function	Acronym	Summary of the Appendix 5B definition
Distribution Provider	DP	Provides and operates the wires between the transmission system and the end-use customer, at any voltage
UFLS-Only Distribution Provider	UFLS-Only DP	A DP that owns, controls, or operates required UFLS Protection Systems but meets no other DP criterion
Frequency Response Sharing Group	FRSG	Two or more BAs that jointly meet the sum of their Frequency Response Obligations
Generator Operator	GOP	Operates generating Facilities (Category 1) or qualifying non-BES inverter-based resources (Category 2)
Generator Owner	GO	Owns and maintains generating Facilities (Category 1) or qualifying non-BES inverter-based resources (Category 2)
Planning Authority / Planning Coordinator	PA/PC	Coordinates and integrates transmission Facilities and service plans, resource plans, and Protection Systems
Reliability Coordinator	RC	Highest level of authority responsible for the Reliable Operation of the BES, with the Wide Area view
Regulation Reserve Sharing Group	RRSG	Two or more BAs that jointly supply the Regulating Reserve their members need
Reserve Sharing Group	RSG	Two or more BAs that jointly supply Operating Reserves for contingency recovery
Resource Planner	RP	Develops a long-term plan for the resource adequacy of specific Loads in a Planning Authority area
Transmission Owner	TO	Owns and maintains transmission Facilities
Transmission Operator	TOP	Responsible for the reliability of its local transmission system; operates or directs operation of transmission Facilities
Transmission Planner	TP	Develops a long-term plan for the reliability of the interconnected transmission system in its portion of the PA area
Transmission Service Provider	TSP	Administers the transmission tariff and provides Transmission Service

6.4 What Pulls an Entity In

For generators, the starting point is usually the Bulk Electric System definition. Unless modified by its inclusions and exclusions, the BES is all Transmission Elements operated at 100 kV or higher and Real Power and Reactive Power resources connected at 100 kV or higher. Inclusion I2 brings in generating resources connected at 100 kV or above with a gross individual nameplate rating greater than 20 MVA, or a gross plant or facility aggregate nameplate rating

greater than 75 MVA. An entity that owns or operates such a resource is a candidate for Category 1 GO or GOP registration.

The 2024 revisions to the Rules of Procedure added Category 2. A Category 2 GO or GOP owns or operates non-BES inverter-based resources that have or contribute to an aggregate nameplate capacity of 20 MVA or more, connected through a system designed primarily to deliver that capacity to a common point of connection at 60 kV or higher. NERC and the Regional Entities began processing Category 2 registrations in batches, with an effective registration date of May 15, 2026.

Other functions have their own criteria. For example, Appendix 5B includes a Distribution Provider whose system serves more than 75 MW of peak Load directly connected to the BES.

6.5 Multiple Functions and Shared Responsibility

An entity can be registered for several functions, and a single Requirement can apply to it under more than one. A vertically integrated utility might be a TO, TOP, BA, GO, GOP, and DP at once. Each standard applies according to each function the entity is registered for.

Under NERC's Sanction Guidelines, a violation and penalty are assessed against the entity, not against each function it performs. Appendix 5B also provides that an entity will not be registered for responsibilities that have been transferred by written agreement to another entity registered for the appropriate function. Those agreements need to be precise. Vague ones are where gaps live.

6.6 Boundaries and Limitations

Functional registration is bounded by Section 215. Facilities used in local distribution are outside the bulk-power system and outside the standards. The BES definition's inclusions and exclusions draw the line in detail, and the exclusions matter as much as the inclusions. An entity that is registered but believes a facility is excluded should be able to show why, in writing, using the definition's own terms.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

For executives, registration is a governance decision with long-lived consequences. Corporate structure, contracts with operators and service providers, and decisions about which functions to perform in-house all change which obligations apply. Those choices are usually made by people who never read Appendix 5B.

For engineers and operators, the registered functions explain why a given task carries a compliance obligation and how their work connects to the reliability of the wider system.

Chapter Summary

Reliability Standards apply to registered functions, not to named companies.

From the Field

Misunderstanding your function is the most expensive mistake in NERC compliance.

7 Overview of NERC Reliability Standards

This chapter gives a map of the Reliability Standards as a body: how they are organized, how they relate to each other, and where noncompliance tends to show up. It is a conceptual overview, not a guide to any particular standard.

7.1 A Coordinated Framework

The standards are designed to work as a whole. Each one addresses a category of risk, and no single standard is meant to ensure reliability by itself. Reliability comes from the combined effect of planning, operating, protection, communication, and security requirements, applied by many entities at once.

That reflects how failures actually happen. A large event is rarely the product of one decision. It usually takes a configuration, an operating practice, an equipment failure, and a set of human decisions lining up the wrong way.

7.2 Fourteen Families

NERC organizes the continent-wide standards into fourteen families, each identified by a three-letter prefix:

Family	Subject
BAL	Resource and Demand Balancing
CIP	Critical Infrastructure Protection
COM	Communications
EOP	Emergency Preparedness and Operations
FAC	Facilities Design, Connections, and Maintenance
INT	Interchange Scheduling and Coordination
IRO	Interconnection Reliability Operations and Coordination
MOD	Modeling, Data, and Analysis
NUC	Nuclear
PER	Personnel Performance, Training, and Qualifications
PRC	Protection and Control

Family	Subject
TOP	Transmission Operations
TPL	Transmission Planning
VAR	Voltage and Reactive

Families group standards with related reliability objectives, which makes it easier to see which combinations of standards address a given risk and which ones apply to a given function.

7.3 Planning and Operations

Planning standards, such as the TPL and MOD families, look ahead. They focus on studies, models, data, and coordination so the system is designed to perform under normal and contingency conditions in the years to come. Operating standards, such as the TOP, IRO, and BAL families, focus on real-time and next-day coordination, monitoring, and balancing so operators can keep the system within limits and handle abnormal conditions.

The two are linked. A planning model built on bad data produces operating limits that are wrong, and operators discover it at the worst possible time.

7.4 Protection and Control

Protection systems isolate faults and keep equipment from damage. The PRC family addresses how those systems are maintained, coordinated, and analyzed when they misoperate. A misoperation or a poorly coordinated setting can spread a disturbance well beyond its source, which is why the standards focus on protection system performance and coordination rather than on how any individual relay is designed.

7.5 Communications and Coordination

Reliable operation depends on information moving between the right people at the right time, especially during abnormal events. The COM standards address communication capability and protocols. The IRO and TOP standards address the data exchange, operating instructions, and coordination among Reliability Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Balancing Authorities, and Generator Operators. Reliability is as much a matter of information flow and decision-making as of physical equipment.

7.6 Cybersecurity and Physical Security

Section 215 defines a reliability standard to include cybersecurity protection, and the CIP family puts that into practice. The CIP standards apply to the cyber systems that matter to BES operation, scoped through a categorization process, and include physical security requirements for certain transmission facilities. CIP is not a separate regime. It is enforced under the same statute and the same CMEP as every other family. The CIP series in this library covers it in depth.

7.7 Where Noncompliance Shows Up

NERC's 2025 ORCP and CMEP Annual Report, published February 11, 2026, lists the most frequently reported noncompliance. On the operations and planning side, the top three were PRC-005 (protection system maintenance), MOD-025 (verification of generator real and reactive capability), and FAC-008 (Facility Ratings). On the CIP side, the top three were CIP-010, CIP-007, and CIP-004, all involving high-volume, high-frequency conduct.

The report points to ineffective preventive controls as the leading root cause for PRC-005 and FAC-008, and to lack of compliance awareness and deficient procedures for MOD-025. None of those are exotic failures. In my read, they come down to maintenance records, test data, and ratings that someone stopped keeping current.

7.8 What the Standards Are Not

The standards are not an operating manual or a design guide. They set a minimum level of performance, and they do not supersede professional judgment, sound engineering, or an organization-wide reliability culture. Applying them in isolation from a broader reliability management approach produces programs that pass audits and still fail in the field.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

For executives, the family structure is a way to see where the organization's obligations concentrate and where its operational risk sits. For engineers and operators, it shows how their part of the work connects to everyone else's. Reliability is a system-wide result that no individual action produces alone.

Chapter Summary

The Reliability Standards form a coordinated framework of fourteen families covering balancing, planning, operations, protection, communications, personnel, and security. They are interdependent, they set a minimum level of performance, and the noncompliance NERC sees most often is in ordinary, recurring work. Chapter 8 explains how compliance with them is monitored and enforced.

From the Field

There are fourteen families of Reliability Standards. You do not need to know all of them. You need to know the ones that apply to your functions, and you need to know them cold.

The standards are organized by family for a reason. CIP is not BAL is not PRC. The risks are different and the requirements show it.

Reading a standard tells you what it requires. Reading the drafting team's technical rationale tells you why. The why is what holds up under audit.

8 Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement: A High-Level Overview

Compliance monitoring and enforcement are how a standard on paper becomes an obligation someone checks. This chapter covers why they exist, how the CMEP is structured, which monitoring methods it uses, and how noncompliance moves from discovery to resolution.

8.1 Why Compliance Monitoring Exists

Mandatory standards mean nothing without a way to verify that they are met. Once Section 215 made standards binding, the ERO needed a program for monitoring compliance and enforcing it that was fair, transparent, and consistent from entity to entity. Section 215(c) required as much: the ERO had to have rules providing fair and impartial procedures for enforcement through penalties.

8.2 The Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program

The CMEP is Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure, currently effective May 19, 2022. The ERO Enterprise publishes an annual CMEP Implementation Plan describing its monitoring priorities. Under Appendix 4C, the Compliance Enforcement Authority determines the type and frequency of monitoring to apply based on each registered entity's specific risks to the reliability of the bulk power system.

That risk-based design is deliberate. Not every requirement carries the same risk, and not every entity poses the same risk. Inherent Risk Assessments, an entity's internal controls, and other inputs all shape the scope of oversight. Appendix 4C directs the CEA to tailor audit scope to standards identified through those risk-based processes.

8.3 The Monitoring Methods

Appendix 4C, Section 4.0, sets out the compliance monitoring processes:

Method	Appendix 4C	In brief
Compliance Audit	4.1	Systematic, objective review of records and activities against applicable standards
Self-Certification	4.2	Entity attests to its compliance status for specified requirements
Spot Check	4.3	Targeted review initiated at the CEA's discretion or as directed by NERC
Compliance Investigation	4.4	Comprehensive investigation of a possible noncompliance, which may include an on-site visit

Method	Appendix 4C	In brief
Self-Report	4.5	Entity reports that it believes it has, or may have, violated a standard
Periodic Data Submittal	4.6	Data the entity submits on a schedule set by a standard or the CEA
Complaint	4.7	Allegation of noncompliance from any person, including anonymously

Eligible entities may also be approved for Self-Logging under Section 4.5A, which allows minimal-risk noncompliance to be logged for later review instead of individually self-reported. Unscheduled audits are possible too: the CEA may initiate one at any time with at least ten business days' notice, and must do so if FERC directs it.

8.4 What the Numbers Show

The 2025 annual report gives a sense of scale. Of the compliance monitoring engagements the ERO Enterprise performed in 2025, self-certifications made up about 87%, audits about 10%, and spot checks about 3%. Registered entities self-identified approximately 85% of reported noncompliance in 2025, the same share as over the past five years.

That last figure is the one new compliance staff should remember. Most noncompliance is found by the entity's own people, and the ERO Enterprise treats a high self-identification rate as a sign of good detective controls.

8.5 From Potential Noncompliance to Disposition

When monitoring reveals a potential noncompliance, the CEA conducts a Preliminary Screen under Section 4.8. If the screen's criteria are met, the matter proceeds toward a disposition. Appendix 4C provides streamlined paths for lower-risk matters:

- **Compliance Exception.** For noncompliance posing a minimal risk. Compliance Exceptions are not included in an entity's compliance history for penalty purposes, though a CEA must consider that history if an unremediated issue contributes to later serious noncompliance.
- **Find, Fix, Track and Report (FFT).** For minimal or moderate risk noncompliance that has been remediated, without a financial penalty.
- **Notice of Penalty.** For more significant matters, resolved through a Spreadsheet Notice of Penalty or a Full Notice of Penalty, usually through settlement and often with monetary penalties or other sanctions.

In 2025, the ERO Enterprise processed approximately 83% of filed or submitted noncompliance as Compliance Exceptions and 14% as FFTs. Only the remainder went the Notice of Penalty route.

8.6 Due Process

Section 215(e)(1) allows the ERO to impose a penalty only after notice and an opportunity for a hearing. Appendix 4C builds that out. When the CEA determines that an Alleged Violation exists and a Compliance Exception or FFT is not appropriate, it issues a Notice of Alleged Violation

and Proposed Penalty or Sanction, or an offer of settlement. The notice must identify the standard and requirement, the facts, and the proposed penalty with its basis.

The entity then has thirty days to accept, accept the violation but contest the penalty, or contest both, and it may request a hearing. Settlement can be requested or offered at any time. A penalty filed with FERC cannot take effect before the 31st day after filing, and the entity can seek FERC review within 30 days. Due process is part of what gives the framework legitimacy. It is also a set of deadlines that an unprepared entity can miss.

8.7 Who Does What

Most monitoring and enforcement work happens at the Regional Entities within their delegated authority. NERC oversees the program, keeps it consistent, and files Notices of Penalty with FERC. FERC reviews penalties, conducts joint oversight with NERC of programs like FFT and Compliance Exceptions, and retains its own authority to order compliance and impose penalties directly.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

For executives, the CMEP is a governance tool as much as a regulatory one. How the organization finds, reports, and fixes its own noncompliance shapes how the Regional Entity sees it, and that perception affects the scope and frequency of future oversight. For engineers and operators, the compliance framework shows how individual practices connect to the system-level expectations in the standards.

Chapter Summary

The CMEP in Appendix 4C gives the ERO Enterprise seven monitoring methods, a risk-based approach to choosing among them, streamlined dispositions for lower-risk noncompliance, and due process for contested matters. Most noncompliance is self-identified and resolved without a penalty. Chapter 9 looks at the matters that are not.

From the Field

An audit is not an event. It is the visible part of an ongoing relationship with the Regional Entity. The relationship matters more than the audit.

Risk-based monitoring means you cannot predict exactly when you will be audited. You can predict whether you should be.

Self-Reports are the cheapest violations to mitigate. Audit findings are the most expensive. The difference is who found it first.

9 Penalties, Mitigation, and Risk

Penalties, mitigation, and risk are the framework's accountability tools. This chapter covers monetary and non-monetary penalties, mitigation, and the role risk plays in both.

9.1 Why Penalties Exist

Mandatory standards need consequences, and Section 215 supplies them. It also limits them. Any penalty must bear a reasonable relation to the seriousness of the violation and must take into consideration the entity's efforts to remedy the violation in a timely manner.

Penalties are not meant to be the main driver of reliability performance. They exist to make clear that the reliability floor is a legal requirement and not a matter of choice.

9.2 How a Penalty Is Built

NERC's Sanction Guidelines, Appendix 4B to the Rules of Procedure, set the method in three steps. First, set a Base Monetary Penalty Amount using the VRF and VSL table, the entity's size, the assessed risk, the violation's duration, and its time horizon. Second, adjust for aggravating and mitigating factors. Third, make final adjustments for settlement, extenuating circumstances, disgorgement of any economic benefit from choosing to violate, and the entity's ability to pay.

The aggravating factors include repeat violations and compliance history, failure to comply with a Remedial Action Directive, intentional violations, concealment or lack of cooperation, and management involvement. The mitigating factors include the presence and quality of the entity's internal compliance program, the degree and quality of its cooperation, and disclosure through self-reporting and voluntary mitigating activities.

9.3 The Ceiling and the Floor

In the United States, the maximum penalty NERC or a Regional Entity will assess for a violation of a Requirement equals the inflation-adjusted maximum civil penalty in 18 CFR § 385.1602(d). That figure is currently \$1,584,648 per violation, per day.

The Sanction Guidelines also set an implicit floor. Penalties are meant to be consequential enough that entities do not treat them as an economic choice or a cost of doing business. In the most significant cases, NERC or the Regional Entity may look at public financial information about the entity and increase a penalty, up to the maximum, so that it is consequential.

9.4 Mitigation

Every noncompliance must be mitigated. The Rules of Procedure define a Mitigation Plan as an action plan developed by the registered entity to correct a noncompliance and prevent its recurrence. Under Appendix 4C, Section 6.2, a Mitigation Plan identifies the noncompliance, its

cause, the activities to correct it, the activities to correct the cause and prevent recurrence, the anticipated impact on reliability with an action plan for any increased risk while the plan is under way, and a timetable with milestones.

Mitigation is where the reliability value of enforcement is realized. A penalty addresses the past. A good Mitigation Plan changes the future. NERC reports that approximately 73% of noncompliance filed in the past five years had completed mitigation before, or within six months of, being reported to a Regional Entity.

9.5 Risk as the Central Consideration

Risk runs through every step. It determines which monitoring methods are used, whether a noncompliance qualifies for Compliance Exception or FFT treatment, and how large a base penalty is. Not all violations are equal, and the framework is designed so that oversight resources and consequences concentrate where the potential reliability impact is greatest.

9.6 Misconceptions About Enforcement

Two misconceptions come up constantly. The first is that penalties alone make operations reliable. They do not. Reliability is a product of planning, operations, engineering, and culture. The second is that mitigation is a bureaucratic exercise. In practice, mitigation is where root causes get fixed, and the quality of an entity's mitigation affects how its future noncompliance is treated.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

For executives, the Sanction Guidelines reward exactly what good governance should produce anyway: an internal compliance program that finds problems, cooperation with the regulator, and fast, real mitigation. Management involvement in a violation is an aggravating factor. For engineers and operators, the expectations are about system consequences, not paperwork, and the risk assessment of a violation turns on what it could have done to the grid.

Chapter Summary

Penalties, mitigation, and risk form the accountability structure of the framework. Section 215 requires penalties to bear a reasonable relation to seriousness and to credit timely remediation. The Sanction Guidelines build penalties from risk-based factors up to a cap of \$1,584,648 per violation per day, and every noncompliance must be mitigated. Chapter 10 addresses the misunderstandings that make all of this harder than it needs to be.

From the Field

Penalties scale with risk, not paperwork. A finding that did not move the system gets treated differently than one that did.

A good Mitigation Plan is faster than a perfect one. Time to resolution matters in the regulator's calculus.

Settling a violation you cannot win is not weakness. Fighting one you cannot win is.

10 Common Misunderstandings About NERC Compliance

Several ideas about NERC compliance are common and wrong. This chapter corrects them and sets out what the framework is actually for.

10.1 Compliance Is Not Reliability

This is the most persistent misunderstanding in the industry, and one of the hardest to break. Compliance with the Reliability Standards supports reliability. It is not the same thing. Compliance addresses the specific risks the standards were written to mitigate. Reliability is the ability of the system to perform under normal and unusual conditions, and it depends on planning, design, operating practice, and judgment that no standard fully captures.

10.2 The Minimum Is Not the Target

The second misunderstanding is that meeting the standards means achieving sufficient reliability. The standards are a minimum. Treat the minimum as a ceiling and you stop looking for the risks the standards do not reach: new technologies, changing resource mixes, and failure modes no one has codified yet. That leads to confidence the system has not earned.

10.3 Applicability Follows Function, Not Size

Many applicability errors come from assuming that obligations depend on who owns the assets, where they are, or how big the company is. They depend on registered functions and on the Facilities named in each standard's applicability section. Two entities of very different size that perform the same function carry the same requirements.

10.4 NERC Is Not a Government Agency, and It Is Not Unlimited

Some people treat NERC as a federal regulator. Others treat it as a trade association. It is neither. By statute, the ERO is not a department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government, yet its standards are mandatory and its penalties are real once FERC's review period passes. Its authority is also bounded: bulk-power system only, no authority to order new capacity, and no authority over adequacy or safety standards for electric facilities or service.

10.5 Monitoring Is More Than Audits

Compliance monitoring is often reduced in people's minds to the audit. In 2025, audits were about 10% of CMEP monitoring engagements. Self-certifications, spot checks, data submittals, Self-Reports, complaints, and investigations make up the rest. An organization that prepares only for audits is unprepared for most of the ways its compliance is actually tested.

10.6 Enforcement Is Not Only Punishment

Enforcement includes penalties, but most noncompliance never reaches one. As Chapter 8 showed, nearly all noncompliance in 2025 was resolved without a penalty. Consequences are built to be proportionate to risk, and the Sanction Guidelines credit self-reporting and cooperation. A framework designed that way depends on entities reporting problems, not hiding them.

10.7 Documentation Is Not Performance

Documentation matters. It is how an entity shows an auditor what it did. But a well-documented program can still sit on top of equipment that is not maintained, ratings that are wrong, or models that do not match the field. Evidence is a record of performance, not a substitute for it.

10.8 Compliance Is Not Only a Technical Function

Executives often treat reliability requirements as a compliance department activity rather than a governance responsibility. When reliability is not integrated with how the organization allocates resources and assigns authority, the result is predictable: thin staffing, unclear ownership, and overlapping accountabilities. Reliability cannot be managed apart from the business that runs the assets.

Chapter Summary

NERC compliance is often misunderstood in ways that obscure what the framework is for. Compliance supports reliable operation but does not substitute for good operating practice or awareness of the grid. Standards apply by function. Monitoring and enforcement are risk-based and are designed to protect reliability, not simply to punish. Chapter 11 applies these points to an organization encountering the framework for the first time.

From the Field

If your compliance program is built to make NERC happy, you have already lost. NERC is not the customer. The grid is.

Compliance and reliability are not the same thing. Confusing them is the most common error in this industry.

11 Getting Started With NERC Compliance: A High-Level Orientation

An organization meeting the NERC framework for the first time needs to know two things: how it comes into the framework, and the general shape of the obligations that follow. This chapter covers both.

11.1 How Organizations Enter the Framework

Most organizations encounter NERC when their facilities or activities bring them within the registry criteria. That often happens as a business grows or changes: a new plant reaches the BES thresholds, a contractor takes over operation of someone else's facility, or the criteria themselves change, as they did when Category 2 inverter-based resources were added to the GO and GOP definitions.

Entry is a matter of function and reliability impact, not intent. An organization that never thought of itself as a reliability entity can become one because of what its facilities do on the grid.

11.2 Applicability Is the Starting Point

Everything starts with applicability. Registration determines the functions; each standard's applicability section determines which Requirements apply to those functions and to which Facilities; and effective dates determine which version applies today. Get that mapping wrong and every procedure, every training record, and every piece of evidence downstream is built on the wrong scope.

11.3 Organizational Ownership

Reliability obligations cut across operations, engineering, planning, maintenance, information technology, and governance. Specialized compliance staff can coordinate the work, but the obligations belong to the organization as a whole. The consequences of a reliability failure rarely stay inside the department that caused it.

11.4 Governance

Executive leadership sets the framework for assigning accountability and decides whether reliability considerations are weighed in business decisions. The Sanction Guidelines treat the presence and quality of an internal compliance program as a mitigating factor and management involvement in a violation as an aggravating one. Governance shows up in the enforcement math.

11.5 Working With Oversight Bodies

The Regional Entity is the organization's primary point of contact with the ERO. The relationship works best when it is transparent and consistent. Entities that find their own problems, self-report them, and mitigate them promptly are doing what the framework is designed to reward. Confrontation tends to cost more than it saves.

11.6 An Ongoing Responsibility

Compliance is not a one-time project. Standards are revised, new versions take effect, registry criteria change, and the organization's own facilities, resource mix, and operating roles evolve. Each change can alter which obligations apply. A program needs a way to notice those changes before an auditor does.

11.7 Avoiding Early Mistakes

The most common early mistake is treating compliance as an administrative step that, once completed, can be filed away. The second is treating it as the compliance department's problem. Both produce programs that look finished and are not. Compliance underpins reliable outcomes only when it is built into technical practice, organizational design, and governance from the start.

Executive and Engineering Perspectives

Executives benefit from early involvement because reliability obligations affect risk management, investment, and organizational structure. Engineers and operators benefit from understanding how their technical work connects to system-wide reliability expectations, which is what guides professional judgment where the standards leave room for it.

Chapter Summary

An organization entering the NERC framework needs to establish its registered functions, the standards and Facilities that apply, and the governance to sustain compliance over time. Engagement with the framework is a continuing relationship shaped by the entity's impact on the grid, the functions it performs, and the quality of its governance. Chapter 12 brings the main points together.

From the Field

Day one of a compliance program is not writing procedures. It is mapping your registration to your reality.

Compliance maturity is not measured by how many documents you have. It is measured by how easily you can answer a Regional Entity's question.

12 Executive and Engineering Takeaways

The points from this reference that matter most to executives, engineers, and senior managers come together here.

12.1 Reliability Is a System-Level Responsibility

Reliability does not live in any one entity, group, or person. It results from how planning, operations, protection, coordination, and governance interact across an interconnected system. That is why NERC exists, and why its framework assigns obligations to every function that can affect the system.

12.2 Mandatory Standards Set the Floor

Mandatory Reliability Standards set the floor below which no entity may fall. They provide uniformity across very different systems and give the Regional Entities and FERC something enforceable to measure against. They are not a description of complete reliability management. Engineering judgment and system-specific requirements belong above them.

12.3 Governance Drives Outcomes

Reliability obligations exist in statute and regulation, but how well they are met depends on how an organization assigns authority, accountability, and resources. Decisions about budgets, staffing, contracting, and acceptable risk all bear on reliability. That makes reliability oversight a corporate governance topic, not just an operations topic.

12.4 Engineering Judgment Remains Essential

The standards are written to accommodate a wide range of technologies and designs, so the technical solutions that satisfy them will differ from system to system. No standard replaces engineering judgment or consideration of the situation in front of you. The framework balances specific expectations with professional discretion, and it expects the entity to be able to explain how it used that discretion.

12.5 Oversight Supports Reliability

Compliance monitoring and enforcement exist to support reliability goals: accountability, predictability, and reduced risk. The numbers bear that out. Most noncompliance is self-identified and resolved without a penalty, and the framework credits the entities that find and fix their own problems.

12.6 Roles Are Interconnected

Planning shapes operational flexibility. Operations affect system security. Protection determines how the system responds to disturbances. Governance affects all of them. NERC's standards define the roles and set expectations for how they are performed and monitored. Recognizing the interdependence makes collaboration and communication part of compliance, not extras.

12.7 Common Pitfalls

The pitfalls are consistent: treating compliance as equal to reliability, treating reliability commitments as purely technical, and underestimating the governance side of reliability assurance. Avoiding them takes an understanding of how statute, standards, and practice fit together.

12.8 A Common Vocabulary

One underrated contribution of the NERC framework is a shared vocabulary for reliability, from the Glossary of Terms to the registered functions, that lets executives, engineers, auditors, and regulators talk about the same thing across organizational, geographic, and functional boundaries. For executives, it is a reference for governance and risk discussions. For engineers and operators, it is a base for technical decisions. For the industry, it is a common understanding of what reliability requires.

12.9 Looking Forward

The bulk power system keeps changing, with new technologies, new resource types, new loads, and new risks. The framework adapts through standards development, assessments, event analysis, and monitoring, as recent changes like Category 2 registration and the new ride-through requirements show. Organizations and professionals who understand the fundamentals in this reference will be better placed to deal with whatever comes next.

Chapter Summary

The NERC framework is a structured, system-wide approach to managing reliability risk in an interconnected electric system. Mandatory standards, functional responsibility, and layered authority among Congress, FERC, NERC, and the Regional Entities all serve reliable planning and operation. Everyone with authority or expertise in the power system should understand that framework well enough to make informed decisions, govern effectively, and operate reliably. Reliability is a shared responsibility, and the NERC framework defines how it is shared.

Glossary

Terms in this glossary are defined in the NERC Glossary of Terms Used in Reliability Standards unless another source is noted. Definitions are quoted or closely summarized for reference and education. Consult the current NERC Glossary, the NERC Rules of Procedure, and Section 215 of the Federal Power Act for authoritative text.

Term	Meaning in this paper
Adequacy	The ability of the electric system to supply the aggregate electrical demand and energy requirements of the end-use customers at all times, taking into account scheduled and reasonably expected unscheduled outages of system elements.
Balancing Authority (BA)	The responsible entity that integrates resource plans ahead of time, maintains Demand and resource balance within a Balancing Authority Area, and supports Interconnection frequency in real time.
Bulk Electric System (BES)	Unless modified by the inclusions and exclusions in the NERC Glossary, all Transmission Elements operated at 100 kV or higher and Real Power and Reactive Power resources connected at 100 kV or higher. It does not include facilities used in the local distribution of electric energy.
Bulk-Power System	Facilities and control systems necessary for operating an interconnected electric energy transmission network, or any portion of it, and electric energy from generation facilities needed to maintain transmission system reliability. It does not include facilities used in the local distribution of electric energy. (FPA Section 215(a)(1); NERC Glossary.)
Cascading	The uncontrolled successive loss of System Elements triggered by an incident at any location, resulting in widespread electric service interruption that cannot be restrained from sequentially spreading beyond an area predetermined by studies.
Compliance Enforcement Authority (CEA)	NERC or the Regional Entity in their respective roles of monitoring and enforcing compliance with the NERC Reliability Standards. (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2.)
Compliance Exception	A noncompliance addressed under Appendix 4C that is not pursued through an enforcement action under Section 5.0 of Appendix 4C. Used for minimal risk noncompliance. (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendices 2 and 4C.)
Disturbance	An unplanned event that produces an abnormal system condition; any perturbation to the electric system; or the unexpected change in Reporting ACE caused by the sudden failure of generation or interruption of load.

Term	Meaning in this paper
Electric Reliability Organization (ERO)	The organization certified by FERC under Section 215(c) of the Federal Power Act whose purpose is to establish and enforce reliability standards for the bulk-power system, subject to FERC review (FPA Section 215(a)(2)). NERC was certified on July 20, 2006 (116 FERC ¶ 61,062).
Find, Fix, Track and Report (FFT)	A streamlined process to resolve minimal or moderate risk, remediated noncompliance that is not assessed a financial penalty. (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2.)
Generator Operator (GOP)	The entity that operates generating Facilities and performs the functions of supplying energy and Interconnected Operations Services (Category 1 GOP), or operates non-BES Inverter-Based Resources that have or contribute to an aggregate nameplate capacity of 20 MVA or more, connected through a system designed primarily for delivering that capacity to a common point of connection at 60 kV or higher (Category 2 GOP).
Generator Owner (GO)	The entity that owns and maintains generating Facilities (Category 1 GO), or owns and maintains non-BES Inverter-Based Resources meeting the same 20 MVA and 60 kV criteria (Category 2 GO).
Interconnection	A geographic area in which the operation of Bulk Power System components is synchronized such that the failure of one or more of them may adversely affect the ability of the operators of other components to maintain Reliable Operation. When capitalized, any one of the four major electric system networks in North America: Eastern, Western, ERCOT, and Quebec.
Mitigation Plan	An action plan developed by the Registered Entity to correct a noncompliance with a Reliability Standard and prevent re-occurrence of the violation. (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2.)
Notice of Penalty	A notice prepared by NERC and filed with FERC, following approval by NERC of a notice of Confirmed Violation or a settlement agreement, stating the penalty or sanction imposed or agreed to. (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2.)
Regional Entity	An entity having enforcement authority pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 39.8, under a delegation agreement with NERC. (FPA Section 215(a)(7); NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2.)
Registered Entity	An owner, operator, or user of the Bulk Power System, or the entity registered as its designee for the purpose of compliance, that is included in the NERC Compliance Registry. (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2.)
Reliability Coordinator (RC)	The entity that is the highest level of authority responsible for the Reliable Operation of the Bulk Electric System, has the Wide Area view of the Bulk Electric System, and has the operating tools, processes and procedures, including the authority to prevent or mitigate emergency operating situations in both next-day analysis and real-time operations.

Term	Meaning in this paper
Reliability Standard	A requirement, approved by FERC under Section 215 of the Federal Power Act, or approved or recognized by an applicable governmental authority in other jurisdictions, to provide for Reliable Operation of the Bulk-Power System, including cybersecurity protection. It does not include any requirement to enlarge facilities or to construct new transmission or generation capacity.
Reliable Operation	Operating the elements of the Bulk-Power System within equipment and electric system thermal, voltage, and stability limits so that instability, uncontrolled separation, or cascading failures will not occur as a result of a sudden disturbance, including a cybersecurity incident, or unanticipated failure of system elements.
Self-Report	A report by a Registered Entity stating that it believes it has, or may have, violated a Reliability Standard. (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2.)
Transmission Operator (TOP)	The entity responsible for the reliability of its local transmission system, and that operates or directs the operations of the transmission Facilities.
Transmission Owner (TO)	The entity that owns and maintains transmission Facilities.

How Energy Compliance Can Help

New to the framework or not, the questions in this reference are the ones a Regional Entity will ask. Here is where EC helps most.

Registration, applicability, and program foundations

Registration and applicability analysis, so your registered functions match what your facilities actually do. We also handle compliance program design and improvement, reliability governance and program assessments, and operational and engineering reliability alignment.

From there, NERC reliability and compliance advisory support and NERC compliance program support, interpretation, and audit preparation carry the program, along with ongoing compliance program management.

Audits, findings, and enforcement

Audit and enforcement support (non-advocacy); audit defense, including Notice of Penalty response and settlement posture; mitigation planning and Self-Report development; RSAW production and data request strategy; and regulator-perspective program reviews that test whether a program would survive real questions.

Advisory and training

Senior advisory engagement on complex reliability questions; training and executive briefings on reliability frameworks, including onboarding for new compliance staff and counsel; and industry engagement in standards development and working-group participation. Each engagement is scoped to the entity's role, function, and bulk system impact.

WattOps Compliance and RenewOps

WattOps Compliance is EC's NERC compliance evidence platform (wattopscompliance.com), for keeping the evidence behind Chapters 8 and 9 current instead of rebuilding it before each audit. EC will run one standard at one facility through WattOps at no cost (CIP standards excluded). RenewOps is EC's renewable operations monitoring and analytics platform, with a Data Center module, for the plant and operations data that registration and applicability decisions start from.

If you are not certain your registration matches your reality, start there.

Website: energycomplianceinc.com · Email: rsmith@complianceforenergy.com

Phone: 763.438.4427

About the Author

Rob Smith is the Founder and Principal of Energy Compliance, Inc., with more than thirty years in the industry on every side of the system. He began in control-room operations as a Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, and Power System Operator across RTO/ISO and utility control centers. On the regulator side, he was a senior compliance auditor and subject matter expert for NERC Reliability Standards. He audited grid facilities, evaluated mitigation adequacy, participated in risk-based oversight of utility mitigation activities, and supported the development of violation notifications and settlements in FERC-directed enforcement actions. He conducted a regulatory audit in the Sultanate of Oman against the Sultanate's Sector Law and Grid Code.

He founded Energy Compliance, Inc. to deliver senior regulator-side compliance authority without the layered staffing and billable-hour overhead of larger firms.

Education:

- MBA, Energy Management, University of Mary
- MSL, Compliance Law, Fordham Law University
- BAS, Energy Management, Bismarck State College
- BAS, Mechanical Engineering, Metallurgy minor, University of Florida
- BAS, Criminology, St. Petersburg College (State Law Enforcement Certification)
- AAS, Power Plant Technology, Bismarck State College
- AAS, Electrical Transmission System Technology, Bismarck State College

Certifications: NERC Lead Auditor training; previously NERC-certified at the Reliability Coordinator level; 1st Class A Boiler Engineer License, Minnesota.

About Energy Compliance, Inc.

Energy Compliance, Inc. is an independent consulting and advisory firm based in Bloomington, Minnesota, focused exclusively on electric reliability, cybersecurity reliability, and regulatory compliance for organizations connected to the North American Bulk Electric System.

We support registered entities, including Generator Owners and Operators, Transmission Owners and Operators, Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Distribution Providers. Our work spans NERC Reliability Standards, FERC orders, RTO/ISO market participation rules, Regional Entity oversight, and state regulatory frameworks.

We do this work differently than larger consulting firms. A senior practitioner with regulator-side experience oversees every engagement. We staff for outcomes, not billable hours. Our deliverables are written to be operationally executable and audit-defensible, structured for the auditor's question rather than the consultant's binder. Where automation can replace manual work, we build the automation. Where senior judgment is required, the senior is in the room.

Energy Compliance is not affiliated with, sponsored by, or endorsed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or any Regional Entity.

Learn more at energycomplianceinc.com.

Sources and Authorities

- Federal Power Act § 215, 16 U.S.C. § 824o, Electric reliability, as added by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-58, title XII, § 1211 (August 8, 2005), including the statutory note on the status of the ERO.
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/16/824o>
- Federal Power Act § 201, 16 U.S.C. § 824, Declaration of policy; application of subchapter (Part II as added August 26, 1935).
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/16/824>
- 18 CFR § 385.1602, Civil penalties, as adjusted (Rule 1602), paragraph (d).
<https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-18/section-385.1602>
- U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force, Final Report on the August 14, 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada: Causes and Recommendations (April 2004), including its summary of the November 9, 1965 Northeast Blackout.
<https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/oeprod/DocumentsandMedia/BlackoutFinal-Web.pdf>
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Order No. 693, Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System, Docket No. RM06-16-000 (issued March 16, 2007), 72 FR 16416 (April 4, 2007). <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2007-04-04/pdf/E7-5284.pdf>
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Order on the Electric Reliability Organization's Five-Year Performance Assessment, 149 FERC ¶ 61,141, Docket No. RR14-5-000 (issued November 20, 2014), reciting Order No. 672 (February 3, 2006) and the ERO Certification Order, 116 FERC ¶ 61,062 (July 20, 2006).
https://www.ferc.gov/sites/default/files/2020-05/E-10_9.pdf
- North American Electric Reliability Corporation, 2026 Business Plan and Budget, Final (August 6, 2025).
<https://www.nerc.com/gov/bot/FINANCE/BusinessPlanandBudget/NERC%202026%20Final%20Business%20Plan%20and%20Budget.pdf>
- NERC Rules of Procedure (effective November 28, 2023), Section 500, Organization Registration and Certification. <https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/nerc-rop.pdf>
- NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2, Definitions Used in the Rules of Procedure (effective June 27, 2024). <https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-2.pdf>
- NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 3A, Standard Processes Manual, Version 5 (effective November 28, 2023).
<https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-3a.pdf>

- NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 4B, Sanction Guidelines (effective January 19, 2021). <https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-4b.pdf>
- NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 4C, Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (effective May 19, 2022). <https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-4c.pdf>
- NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 5B, Statement of Compliance Registry Criteria, Revision 8 (effective June 27, 2024). <https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-5b.pdf>
- NERC, Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards (web version, retrieved October 25, 2026). <https://www.nerc.com/glossary-of-terms>
- NERC, Reliability Standards by Family (retrieved October 27, 2026). <https://www.nerc.com/standards/reliability-standards>
- NERC, PRC family listing, including PRC-029-2 (effective October 1, 2026) (retrieved October 25, 2026). <https://www.nerc.com/standards/reliability-standards/prc>
- NERC, EOP family listing, including EOP-004-5 (effective October 1, 2027) (retrieved October 25, 2026). <https://www.nerc.com/standards/reliability-standards/eop>
- NERC, ORCP and CMEP Annual Report (February 11, 2026), covering 2025. <https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/programs/enforcement/cmep-and-vegetation-reports/2025-orcp-and-cmep-annual-report.pdf>
- NERC, 2025 Long-Term Reliability Assessment (January 2026). https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/our-work/assessments/nerc_ltra_2025.pdf
- Western Electricity Coordinating Council, FAQ, Inverter-Based Resource Registration Initiative, Category 2 Generator Owner and Generator Operator Registration Process (2026). <https://www.wecc.org/sites/default/files/documents/program/2026/faq-ibr-registration-initiative-category-2-go-and-gop-registration-process.pdf>